1	John C. Quinn (pro hac vice)	Walter F. Brown Jr. (SBN: 130248)
2	jquinn@heckerfink.com	wbrown@paulweiss.com Meredith R. Dearborn (SBN: 268312)
2	Hyatt Mustefa (pro hac vice) hmustefa@heckerfink.com	mdearborn@paulweiss.com
3	Jocelyn Hassel (pro hac vice)	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,
4	jhassel@heckerfink.com Tayonna Ngutter (pro hac vice)	WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor
.	tngutter@heckerfink.com	San Francisco, CA 94105
5	Tanveer Singh (pro hac vice)	Telephone: (628) 432-5100
6	tsingh@heckerfink.com HECKER FINK LLP	Facsimile: (628) 232-3101
	350 Fifth Avenue, 63rd Floor	
7	New York, NY 10118 Telephone: (212) 763-0883	Karen L. Dunn (pro hac vice) kdunn@dirllp.com
8	1 Cicphone. (212) 703-0003	Melissa F. Zappala (pro hac vice)
	Joshua Matz (pro hac vice)	mzappala@dirllp.com
9	jmatz@heckerfink.com Kaitlin Konkel (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	DUÑN ISAACŜON RHEE LLP 401 9th Street NW
10	kkonkel@heckerfink.com	Washington, DC 20004
	Joanne Grace Dela Peña (pro hac vice)	Telephone: (202) 240-2900
11	jdelapena@heckerfink.com Brian Remlinger (pro hac vice)	Facsimile: (202) 240-2050
12	bremlinger@heckerfink.com	Attorneys for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.
12	HECKER FINK LLP	
13	1050 K Street NW, Suite 1040 Washington, DC 20001	
14	Telephone: (212) 763-0883	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Dr. Andrew Forrest	
16	[Additional Counsel Listed in Associated Joint Status Report]	
17		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
20		
21		
22	DR. ANDREW FORREST,	Case No. 22-CV-03699-PCP (VKD)
	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER RESETTING
23		ÎNTERIM DÉADLINES RE
24	V.	OCTOBER 3, 2025 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY
25	META PLATFORMS, INC.	DISPUTE RE NATIVE DATA
	Defendant.	The Honorable Virginia K. DeMarchi
26		Re: Dkt. No. 302
27		10. 210. 10. 502
28		

(PROPOSED) ORDER

Having considered the parties' proposal for completion of the "first phase" of document discovery, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- 1. By **December 19, 2025**, the parties must meet and confer regarding the status of Meta's ongoing investigation.
- 2. By January 14, 2026, Meta must (1) complete its investigation as to whether it can identify and retrieve the component parts of the Scam Ads that were impacted by the creative optimizations identified by Plaintiff; and (2) report its findings in writing to Plaintiff. If, for any creative optimization, Meta determines that it is possible to extract the Scam Ad components impacted by such optimization, Meta shall state in its report whether such extraction is reasonably feasible and if so, its proposed timeline to extract and produce such data. If, for any optimization, Meta determines that it is not reasonably feasible to extract the Scam Ad components impacted by such optimization, Meta shall notify Plaintiff and explain why in writing no later than January 14, 2026, so that the parties can meet and confer ahead of the joint status report due January 23, 2026. If Meta determines that additional time is necessary to complete its investigation as to any of the optimizations identified by Plaintiff, Meta shall notify Plaintiff and explain why in writing no later than January 14, 2026, so that the parties can meet and confer ahead of the joint status report due January 23, 2026.
- 3. By **January 20, 2026**, the parties must meet and confer regarding the results of Meta's investigation.
- 4. By **January 23, 2026**, the parties shall file a joint status report regarding the results of Meta's investigation, and shall include any additional requests for relief relating to Meta's investigation and production of additional alleged Scam Ad data arising out of the following orders of this Court: Dkt. 276, Dkt. 284, Dkt. 289, and the instant order. The Court sets no word or page limits on any "joint" portion of the status report; however, if any party files a separate statement regarding any matter within the scope of that report, such separate statement shall not exceed 1,000 words.